Standard 1. Program Candidate and Completer Quality

The program ensures that candidates and completers are prepared to instruct prekindergarten through grade 12 (p-12) students to meet high standards for academic achievement.

Indicator 1.1: Each program consistently applies admission requirements in accordance with section 1004.04(3)(b), F.S						
Annual Institutional Program Evaluation Plan (IPEP)	Continued Approval Program Summary Report	Continued Approval Site Visit				
implemented to admission policies, processes, methods and procedures used to admit candidates in meeting the	If the program admitted any candidates under the 10% waiver during the continued approval period, the following is required:	 Off-site: Department identifies questions or areas that need further examination as a result of review of annual IPEPs. On-site: Department seeks 				
2. The program annually reports data and describes the following on candidates admitted under the 10% waiver provision:	• A year-by-year institutional table reflecting how many candidates were admitted by program under the waiver; and	evidence in the form of interviews, classroom observations and by other means to resolve questions, identify				
 Assistance provided to and progress made by candidates for demonstrating the competencies required for certification; and Current status of each candidate admitted under the 10% waiver provision. 	• Summary describing the assistance and progress made by candidates for demonstrating the competencies required for certification.	exemplars and highlight continuous improvement.				

Effective Date: February 2015 Rule 6A-5.066, F.A.C. **1** | Page Form ITP CAS-2015

Indicator 1.2 : The program must demonstrate that each completer possesses the required knowledge, skills, and professional behaviors relevant for professional practices and work characteristics in his or her field.			
Annual Institutional Program Evaluation Plan (IPEP)	Continued Approval Program Summary Report	Continued Approval Site Visit	
1. The program describes any changes that were implemented for collecting and analyzing candidate performance data at the individual and program level to ensure each candidate's mastery of the Uniform	A program summary report is not required.	• Off-site: Department identifies questions or areas that need further examination as a result of review of annual IPEPs.	

Examination (FTCE).The program describes the assistance provided to and status of candidates who did not demonstrate mastery of competencies for each component of the UCC.

subsection of the Florida Teacher Certification

Core Curricula (UCC) and successfully passing each

- 3. The program describes the assistance provided to and status of candidates who were not successful in passing any subtest of the FTCE.
- 4. The program reports the following information on any program completer who is employed in a Florida public school during the first 2 years immediately following completion of the program or following initial certification, whichever occurs first, and the district or charter school requests additional training for the educator.
 - Number of program completers reported under the 2-year guarantee;
 - School district or charter school where assistance was requested;
 - Description of the assistance provided by the program; and
 - Description of the outcomes of the assistance

identify exemplars and highlight continuous improvement.

• On-site: Department seeks

evidence in the form of interviews,

classroom observations and by

other means to resolve questions,

Effective Date: February 2015 Rule 6A-5.066, F.A.C. Form ITP CAS-2015 **2** | P a g e

provided.		
-----------	--	--

Annual Institutional Program Evaluation Plan (IPEP)	Continued Approval Program Summary Report	Continued Approval Site Visit
 The program describes any changes that were implemented: Evaluation method(s) utilized by program candidates to demonstrate positive impact on p-12 student learning; and How data results were collected, evaluated and analyzed for determining program candidate impact on p-12 student learning growth during field and clinical experiences. The program describes any changes that were implemented: P-12 student learning growth data gathered for each program completer within the first year of teaching after program completion; and How data results were collected, evaluated and analyzed in determining program completer impact on p-12 student learning growth while employed in a Florida public school. 		 Off-site: Department identifies questions or areas that need further examination as a result of review of annual IPEPs. On-site: Department seeks evidence in the form of interviews classroom observations and by other means to resolve questions, identify exemplars and highlight continuous improvement.

Effective Date: February 2015 Rule 6A-5.066, F.A.C. Form ITP CAS-2015 **3** | P a g e

Standard 2. Field and Clinical Practices

The program ensures high-quality field and clinical experiences with high-quality feedback and support for each program candidate.

Indicator 2.1: Postsecondary and school district personnel meet the requirements for supervision in accordance with s. 1004.04(5)(a-b), F.S.

Annual Institutional Program Evaluation Plan (IPEP)	Continued Approval Program Summary Report	Continued Approval Site Visit
 The program describes any changes that were implemented for confirming, collecting and monitoring the qualifications of postsecondary program faculty and school district personnel to ensure that they meet state requirements outlined in s. 1004.04(5)(a-b), F.S. Documentation shall include updated: Agreements between the program or institution and the school district, including school district personnel's qualifications. Faculty qualifications for supervision. 		 Off-site: Department identifies questions or areas that need further examination as a result of review of annual IPEPs. On-site: Department seeks evidence in the form of interviews, classroom observations and by other means to resolve questions, identify exemplars and highlight continuous improvement.

Effective Date: February 2015 Rule 6A-5.066, F.A.C. Form ITP CAS-2015 **4** | P a g e

Indicator 2.2 : Field and clinical practices are completed in settings relevant to program objectives for the development of candidate
skills.

Annual Institutional Program Evaluation Plan (IPEP)	Continued Approval Program Summary Report	Continued Approval Site Visit
 The program describes (if appropriate): Changes to the selection and monitoring process for determining field and clinical settings relevant to the program's objectives, including a variety of sites that represent the full spectrum of school communities in multiple contexts. Description of specific settings for field and clinical practices for the reporting year. Changes to how program candidates receive feedback on their progress through field and clinical experiences. Remediation that was provided to program candidates who were unsuccessful in field and clinical experiences. 	A program summary report is not required.	 Off-site: Department identifies questions or areas that need further examination as a result of review of annual IPEPs. On-site: Department seeks evidence in the form of interviews, classroom observations and by other means to resolve questions, identify exemplars and highlight continuous improvement.

Effective Date: February 2015 Rule 6A-5.066, F.A.C. Form ITP CAS-2015 **5** | P a g e

Standard 3. Program Effectiveness

The program supports continuous improvement that is sustained and evidence-based, and that evaluates the effectiveness of its candidates and completers.

Annual Institutional Program Evaluation Plan (IPEP).	Continued Approval Program Summary Report	Continued Approval Site Visit
 The program provides aggregated data in the reporting year on program candidates and program completers including, but not limited to: Impact of p-12 student learning for all program completers employed in Florida public schools (Standard 1.3). Program completers' performance as evidenced by the Annual Program Performance Report Card (APPR) (Standard 1.3). Assistance provided to any program completer(s) as a result of the 2-year guarantee (Standard 1.2). Impact of p-12 student learning for all program candidates during field and clinical experiences (Standard 1.3). Program candidates' culminating field and clinical experience performance evaluations in demonstration of mastery of the UCC (Standard 1.2). Program candidates' FTCE subtest results at the competency level (Standard 1.2). Program candidate data admitted under the 10% waiver (Standard 1.1). Other program candidate or program completer outcome data results considered by the program. 	category receiving a Level One or Level Two score during the review period.	 Off-site: Department identifies questions or areas that need further examination as a result of review of annual IPEPs. On-site: Department seeks evidence in the form of interviews classroom observations and by other means to resolve questions, identify exemplars and highlight continuous improvement.

Effective Date: February 2015 **6** | Page Rule 6A-5.066, F.A.C. Form ITP CAS-2015

2. The program describes for the reporting year how it	
analyzed the aggregated program candidate and program	
completer outcome data, and determined areas of need	
or weaknesses for consideration for program	
improvement.	

Indicator 3.2 : The program uses results of data collection to enhance program elements and capacity for impacting p-12 student learning.					
Annual Institutional Program Evaluation Plan (IPEP).	Continued Approval Program Summary Report	Continued Approval Site Visit			
 The program describes for the reporting year: Specific program elements identified by aggregated data analyses that were determined as areas of strength or areas of weakness for continuous program improvement. Stakeholders (roles and responsibilities) involved in the decision-making process for determining the enhancement of program elements and capacity for impacting p-12 student learning. Specific programmatic enhancements and changes that were made (or will be made) as a result of the decision-making process. 	 The program prepares a continued approval period summary or synthesis of continuous improvement outcomes that include: Stakeholder involvement in programmatic decision-making; and How it used the data results for program enhancements and programmatic changes. 	 Off-site: Department identifies questions or areas that need further examination as a result of review of annual IPEPs. On-site: Department seeks evidence in the form of interviews, classroom observations and by other means to resolve questions, identify exemplars and highlight continuous improvement. 			

Effective Date: February 2015 Rule 6A-5.066, F.A.C. Form ITP CAS-2015 **7** | P a g e

Continued Approval Site Visit

Scoring Rubric

Continued Approval Summative Rating	Level 4	Level 3	Level 2	Level 1
Scoring Rubric				
	4 points	3 points	2 points	1 point
Each indicator for each continued approval	"Acceptable" for all	Does not meet	"Needs	"Unacceptable" on
standard is reviewed and scored as follows:	indicators of	criteria for Level 4	Improvement" for	any indicator of
	Standards 1, 2 and 3	but meets the	one or more	Standards 1, 2 and 3
3 = Acceptable		following criteria:	indicators of	
		"Acceptable" for	Standard 3 and no	
Evidence provided by the program meets		each indicator of	score of	
requirements for the standard's indicator.		Standard 3, and	"Unacceptable" in	
		indicators 1.2 and	any indicator of	
2 = Needs Improvement		1.3 of Standard 1,	Standards 1 and 2	
		and no score of		
Evidence provided by the program meets some of		"Unacceptable" in		
the requirements for the standard's indicator.		any indicator of		
		Standards 1 and 2		
1 = Unacceptable				
Evidence provided by the program does not meet				
requirements for the standard's indicator.				

Effective Date: February 2015 Rule 6A-5.066, F.A.C. Form ITP CAS-2015 **8** | P a g e